

SIGNED ENUMERATION OF UPPER-RIGHT CORNERS IN PATH SHUFFLES

WILLIAM KUSZMAUL

ABSTRACT. We resolve a conjecture of Albert and Bousquet-Melou enumerating quarter-plane walks with fixed horizontal and vertical projections according to their upper-right-corner count modulo 2. In doing this, we introduce a signed upper-right-corner count statistic. We find its distribution over planar walks with any choice of fixed horizontal and vertical projections. Additionally, we prove that the polynomial counting loops with a fixed horizontal and vertical projection according to the absolute value of their signed upper-right-corner count is $(x+1)$ -positive. Finally, we conjecture an equivalence between $(x+1)$ -positivity of the generating function for upper-right-corner count and signed upper-right-corner count.

1. INTRODUCTION

When studying walks on $\mathbb{Z}^2$, it is natural to restrict oneself to walks which project vertically on a fixed vertical path P_1 and horizontally on a fixed horizontal path P_2 , where P_1 (resp. P_2) comprises N and S steps (resp. E and W steps) [1]. Such paths correspond with *shuffles*, or interleavings, of P_1 and P_2 . If $|P_1| = j$ and $|P_2| = k$, there are $\binom{j+k}{k}$ such shuffles.

Given a path, several natural statistics arise. In this paper, we focus on variants of *L-count*, which counts the number of *NW* and *ES* corners. Equivalently, L-count counts occurrences of *ES* and *NW* in a shuffle of P_1 and P_2 . Albert and Bousquet-Melou introduced L-count in order to study the asymptotic number of permutations sortable by two stacks in parallel [1]. They also proved that L-count has the same distribution over shuffles as do several other statistics (Proposition 14 of [1]).

Albert and Bousquet-Melou studied several generating functions involving L-count of planar walks in depth, but very little is known in the case where the path's horizontal and vertical projections are fixed [1]. Albert and Bousquet-Melou conjecture the following.

Conjecture 1.1 (Albert, Bousquet-Melou [1]). *Let w (resp. v) be a path beginning and ending at the origin of half-length i (resp. j) on the alphabet $\{E, W\}$ (resp. $\{N, S\}$). Then the polynomial that counts walks of the shuffle class of vw according to the number of *NW* and *ES* corners takes the value $\binom{i+j}{i}$ at -1 .*

Albert and Bousquet-Melou note that, among other things, proving the conjecture would eliminate the need for the somewhat lengthy proof of their Proposition 15 [1].

We prove Conjecture 1.1 and extend it to the case where w and v are arbitrary words on the alphabets $\{E, W\}$ and $\{N, S\}$ respectively. This corresponds with considering arbitrary walks on the plane, rather than only planar loops.

In order to study L-count modulo 2, it is sufficient to study what we call *signed L-count*, which is the number of *NW* corners minus the number of *ES* corners. While signed L-count and L-count are guaranteed to share parity, it turns out that signed L-count exhibits nice behavior.

Our main theorem is the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let P_1 be a word comprising a N 's and b S 's. Let P_2 be a word comprising c E 's and d W 's. Suppose $a + c > 0$ and $b + d > 0$. Then the number of shuffles of P_1 and P_2 with signed L -count k is*

$$\binom{a+c}{c-l} \binom{b+d}{d+l}.$$

To prove Theorem 1.2, we introduce a new statistic called *shifted N -count*. Under certain conditions, we provide a bijection establishing the equidistribution of shifted N -count and signed L -count. This allows us to study shifted N -count instead of signed L -count.

Note that Theorem 1.2 immediately leads to a formula for the difference between the number of even and odd L -count shuffles of P_1 and P_2 ,

$$(1) \quad \sum_l (-1)^l \binom{a+c}{c-l} \binom{b+d}{d+l}.$$

In certain key cases, Formula (1) simplifies. When $a = b$ and $c = d$ (as is the case in the Conjecture 1.1), Formula (1) becomes

$$\sum_k (-1)^k \binom{a+c}{c-k} \binom{a+c}{c+k} = \binom{a+c}{c}.$$

Additionally, when $a = c$ and $b = d$, Formula (1) becomes

$$\sum_k (-1)^k \binom{2a}{a-k} \binom{2b}{b+k},$$

which is the super Catalan number $A_{a,b} = \frac{(2a)!(2b)!}{a!b!(a+b)!}$ [4]. Finding a combinatorial interpretation for the super-Catalan number is an open problem; when $a \leq 3$ or $|a - b| \leq 3$, combinatorial interpretations have been found ([2], [3]). Note that our result does not yield a combinatorial interpretation because it examines the difference between the cardinalities of two sets, rather than the cardinality of a single set. As far as we know, however, it is the first non-contrived example of a combinatorial problem in which the super Catalan numbers appear.

In [1], the following conjecture is used to provide an asymptotic analysis for the number of permutations sortable by two stacks in parallel.

Conjecture 1.3 (Albert, Bousquet-Melou [1]). *The generating function for L -count of quarter-plane loops of a given length can be expressed as a positive polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x+1]$ (i.e., is $(x+1)$ -positive).*

In Section 4, we pose conjectures reducing the study of $(x+1)$ -positivity of L -count to the study of $(x+1)$ -positivity of absolute value of signed L -count. With this as motivation, in Section 3, we prove a stronger version of Conjecture 1.3 for signed L -count rather than for L -count. In particular, we prove that the polynomial counting loops according to the absolute value of their signed L -count is $(x+1)$ -positive, even when we restrict ourselves to loops with fixed horizontal and vertical projections.

One approach to proving a polynomial is $(x+1)$ -positive is to evaluate the polynomial at $(x-1)$ and then to prove that the coefficients of x are non-negative. For absolute value of signed L -count, however, this approach does not easily yield results. Instead, we introduce $(x+1)$ -positive building-block polynomials out of which we construct the polynomial counting shuffles by absolute value of signed L -count.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we enumerate signed L-count of shuffles; additionally, we enumerate L-count modulo 2. In Section 3, we prove our results on $(x+1)$ -positivity. In Section 4, we conclude with conjectures and directions of future work.

2. ENUMERATING SIGNED L-COUNT

In this section, we derive a formula for the number of shuffles with a given signed L-count. To accomplish this, we introduce a subtly related statistic called N-count. We begin by introducing some conventions.

For the rest of this section, let a, b, c, d be non-negative integers satisfying $a + c > 0$ and $b + d > 0$. Let P_1 (resp. P_2) be a word comprising a ones (resp. c twos) and b negative ones (resp. d negative twos). In particular, $1, 2, -1, -2$ correspond with N, E, S, W respectively, but are more convenient to discuss since they already have pre-defined parity and sign.

Definition 2.1. A shuffle of P_1 and P_2 is an intertwining of the letters in P_1 and P_2 . In addition, a shuffle has the letter $-\text{inf}$ in its zero-th position.

Remark 2.2. The convention of $-\text{inf}$ preceding the first letter is non-standard. But it will facilitate the definition of a statistic called N-count.

We will use S to denote the set of shuffles of P_1 and P_2 .

Example 2.3. If $P_1 = 1, 1$ and $P_2 = 2, -2$, then S contains:

$$\begin{aligned} &-\text{inf}, 1, 1, 2, -2 \\ &-\text{inf}, 1, 2, 1, -2 \\ &-\text{inf}, 1, 2, -2, 1 \\ &-\text{inf}, 2, 1, 1, -2 \\ &-\text{inf}, 2, 1, -2, 1 \\ &-\text{inf}, 2, -2, 1, 1 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.4. For $s \in S$, we use $\#(A, B)_s$ to denote the number of occurrences in s of a value in set A followed immediately by a value in set B . If A or B contains only a single element, we omit set brackets around that element. For example, $A = \{1\}$ is written as $A = 1$.

Definition 2.5. We use the following short-hands for certain sets: $\text{Odd} = \{1, -1\}$, $\text{Even} = \{2, -2\}$, $\text{Pos} = \{1, 2\}$, $\text{Neg} = \{-1, -2, -\text{inf}\}$.

Example 2.6. The value $\#(\text{Neg}, 2)_s$ counts instances of a negative value followed by a 2. For example, if $s = \overline{-\text{inf}, 2}, -1, -2, 1, \overline{-2, 2}, 2$, then $\#(\text{Neg}, 2)_s = 2$ and the instances are over-lined.

Definition 2.7. The signed L-count of $s \in S$ is $\#(2, -1)_s - \#(1, -2)_s$.

We begin by reformulating signed L-count:

Lemma 2.8. The signed L-count of $s \in S$ can be expressed as

$$\#(2, \text{Neg})_s - \#(\text{Pos}, -2)_s.$$

Proof. By definition, signed L-count is $\#(2, -1)_s - \#(1, -2)_s$. This expands to

$$\#(2, -1)_s + \#(2, -2)_s - \#(1, -2)_s - \#(2, -2)_s,$$

which simplifies to

$$\#(2, \text{Neg})_s - \#(\text{Pos}, -2)_s.$$

□

Next we introduce *shifted N-count*. On the surface, it is easier to study than L-count. Momentarily, however, we will show the two statistics are equidistributed for certain key cases.

Definition 2.9. The N-count of a shuffle $s \in S$ is $\#(\text{Neg}, \text{Even})_s$. The shifted N-count is $\#(\text{Neg}, \text{Even})_s - d$. (Recall that d is the number of (-2) 's in s .)

It will be useful to have the following reformulation for shifted N-count.

Lemma 2.10. The shifted N-count of $s \in S$ can be expressed as

$$\#(\text{Neg}, 2)_s - \#(\text{Pos}, -2)_s.$$

Proof. The shifted N-count $\#(\text{Neg}, \text{Even})_s - d$ expands to

$$(\#(\text{Neg}, 2)_s + \#(\text{Neg}, -2)_s) - (\#(\text{Pos}, -2)_s + \#(\text{Neg}, -2)_s),$$

which simplifies to

$$\#(\text{Neg}, 2)_s - \#(\text{Pos}, -2)_s.$$

□

Now we construct an involution $f : S \rightarrow S$ such that, under certain conditions, the shifted N-count of $f(s)$ is the same as the signed L-count of s .

Definition 2.11. A run in $s \in S$ is a (non-empty) maximal contiguous subsequence of positive letters.

Definition 2.12. The flip $f(s)$ of a shuffle $s \in S$, is obtained by replacing every run in s with the same run written backwards.

Example 2.13. For example, if

$$s = -\text{inf}, 1, 1, 2, -1, -2, 2, 1, -1, -1, 2,$$

then the runs are 1, 1, 2, in addition to 2, 1, and 2. Thus $f(s)$ is

$$f(s) = -\text{inf}, 2, 1, 1, -1, -2, 1, 2, -1, -1, 2.$$

Proposition 2.14. Suppose the final letter of P_2 is -2 . Then for all $s \in S$ the shifted N-count of $f(s)$ is the same as the signed L-count of s .

Proof. Since the final letter of P_2 is -2 , if s ends with a run, then that run must consist only of 1's. Thus every run in s containing at least one 2 is preceded and proceeded by a negative letter. Consequently, $\#(\text{Neg}, 2)_{f(s)} = \#(2, \text{Neg})_s$, since flipping a shuffle reverses the order of every run. Additionally, it is easy to see that $\#(\text{Pos}, -2)_{f(s)} = \#(\text{Pos}, -2)_s$.

By Lemma 2.10, the shifted N-count of $f(s)$ is $\#(\text{Neg}, 2)_{f(s)} - \#(\text{Pos}, -2)_{f(s)}$, which thus equals $\#(2, \text{Neg})_s - \#(\text{Pos}, -2)_s$. By Lemma 2.8, this is exactly the signed L-count of s . □

Therefore when the final letter of P_2 is -2 , we are interested in enumerating N-count.

Proposition 2.15. *Suppose the final letter of P_2 is -2 . Then the number of shuffles $s \in S$ with N-count N is*

$$\binom{a+c}{c+d-N} \binom{b+d}{N}.$$

Proof. Pick $c+d-N$ of the 1's and 2's in P_1 and P_2 . Pick N of the -1 's and -2 's in P_1 and P_2 . If the final -2 in P_2 is picked, then unpick it and pick $-\text{inf}$ in its place. We will call the letters which have just been picked blue, and the remaining letters red. We will call such a coloring a blue-red coloring. By definition, there are $\binom{a+c}{c+d-N} \binom{b+d}{N}$ blue-red colorings.

Observe that every $s \in S$ with N-count N yields such a blue-red coloring as follows. Simply color a letter blue if it precedes an even letter in s and red otherwise. In particular, because s has N-count N , N negative letters will be blue, while $c+d-N$ positive letters will be blue. Additionally, because the final letter of P_2 is -2 , it cannot precede an even letter in s , and will not be blue.

Moreover, every blue-red coloring corresponds with exactly one $s \in S$ with N-count N . Indeed, we may construct s as follows. By definition, the first letter is $-\text{inf}$. Given the first j letters, the $(j+1)$ -th letter must be even if the j -th letter is blue, and odd otherwise. Therefore, the $(j+1)$ -th letter is either forced to be the next unused letter of P_1 or forced to be the next unused letter of P_2 . Thus all of s is uniquely determined by the blue-red coloring. Observe that the construction uses exactly $c+d$ letters from P_2 since exactly $c+d$ letters are blue, and thus also uses exactly $a+b$ letters from P_1 . Consequently, the construction is well-defined, yielding a valid shuffle s with N-count N . $\square$

Using Proposition 2.14 and Proposition 2.15, it is easy to enumerate signed L-count when the final letter of P_2 is -2 . In fact, with a little more work, one can remove the restriction that P_2 ends with -2 . This brings us to our main result.

Theorem 2.16. *The number of $s \in S$ with signed L-count l is*

$$\binom{a+c}{c-l} \binom{b+d}{d+l}.$$

Proof. We will prove this by induction on $a+b+c+d$, assuming the result holds for smaller instances in which $a+c > 0$ and $b+d > 0$ (i.e., in which there is at least one positive and one negative letter among those in P_1 and P_2). There are three cases.

- **Case 1: The final letter of P_2 is -2 .** By Proposition 2.14 the number of shuffles with signed L-count l is the number with shifted N-count l , and thus N-count $d+l$. By Proposition 2.15, this is

$$\binom{a+c}{c+d-d-l} \binom{b+d}{d+l} = \binom{a+c}{c-l} \binom{b+d}{d+l}.$$

- **Case 2: The final letter of P_1 is -1 .** The signed L-count of a shuffle is the negative of signed L-count of the complement shuffle in which every 1 and -1 has been replaced with a 2 and -2 and vice-versa. By case (1), the number of such complement shuffles with signed L-count $-l$ is

$$\binom{c+a}{a+l} \binom{d+b}{b-l} = \binom{a+c}{c-l} \binom{b+d}{d+l}.$$

- **Case 3: The final letters of P_1 and of P_2 are both positive.** First consider shuffles ending with 1. Ignoring the final letter, we see by induction that the number with signed L-count l is

$$\binom{(a-1)+c}{c-l} \binom{b+d}{d+l}.$$

Next consider shuffles ending with 2. Ignoring the final letter, we see by induction that the number with signed L-count l is

$$\binom{a+(c-1)}{(c-1)-l} \binom{b+d}{d+l}.$$

Summing over the two sub-cases yields

$$\binom{a+c}{c-l} \binom{b+d}{d+l}.$$

□

Now we have a formula for L-count modulo 2:

Corollary 2.17. *The number of $s \in S$ with even $\#(1, -2)_s + \#(2, -1)_s$ minus the number with odd $\#(1, -2)_s + \#(2, -1)_s$ is*

$$\sum_l (-1)^l \binom{a+c}{c-l} \binom{b+d}{d+l}.$$

When $a = b$ and $c = d$, this resolves the Conjecture 1.1, since $\sum_k (-1)^k \binom{a+c}{c-k} \binom{a+c}{c+k} = \binom{a+c}{c}$. (See Equation (30) of [4].) When $a = c$ and $b = d$, the sum yields the super Catalan numbers. (See Equation (29) of [4].)

3. $(x+1)$ -POSITIVITY OF SIGNED L-COUNT OF PLANE LOOPS

A polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is $(x+1)$ -positive if it is also in $\mathbb{Z}^*[x+1]$, where $\mathbb{Z}^*$ denotes the non-negative integers.

Conjecture 10 of [1] states that the polynomial counting quarter plane loops of a given length by NW and ES corners (i.e., L-count) is $(x+1)$ -positive. In particular, this result is then used in an asymptotic analysis of the number of permutations sortable by two stacks in parallel.

In this section, we prove that a similar but stronger statement can be made about the absolute value of signed L-count of loops. This is of particular interest due to conjectures we pose in Section 4 connecting $(x+1)$ -positivity of L-count and signed L-count. In fact, our conjectures combined with Theorem 3.11 would imply Conjecture 10 of [1].

We begin by establishing notation.

Definition 3.1. *Let P_1 (resp. P_2) be any path consisting of a N's (resp. c E's) and b S's (resp. d W's). Let $F(a, b, c, d)$ be the generating function counting shuffles of P_1 and P_2 according to the absolute difference of the number of NW and ES corners.*

By Theorem 2.16, $F(a, b, c, d)$ is well-defined. The main result of this section is Theorem 3.11 which proves that $F(m, m, n, n)$ is $(x+1)$ -positive, finding a formula for $F(m, m, n, n)$ as a polynomial on $x+1$. To accomplish this, we define a set of words W_n^m and a simple statistic called *absolute even count* such that $F(m, m, n, n)$ counts words in W_n^m according to the statistic. We then partition W_n^m so that the generating function counting a given part according to absolute even

count is in a family of $(x+1)$ -positive building-block polynomials. We begin by constructing these building blocks.

Definition 3.2. The polynomial $\text{Bin}_k(n) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is defined by $[x^j]\text{Bin}_k(n) = \binom{n}{j+k}$.

In particular, $\text{Bin}_k(n)$ is $(x+1)$ -positive.

Lemma 3.3. Let n and k be positive integers. Then,

$$\text{Bin}_k(n) = \sum_i \binom{n-i-1}{k-1} (x+1)^i.$$

Proof. Observe that $\text{Bin}_k(n)$ is the generating function counting subsets $S \subseteq [n]$ of size at least k according to $|S| - k$.

Consider only sets S such that the k -th largest element of S is i . Then there are $\binom{i-1}{k-1}$ options for the k smallest elements of S . Moreover, since we can choose whether each of $\{i+1, i+2, \dots, n\}$ is in S , the total contribution of such paths to $\text{Bin}_k(n)$ is

$$\binom{i-1}{k-1} (x+1)^{n-i}.$$

Summing over values for i , we get

$$\text{Bin}_k(n) = \sum_i \binom{i-1}{k-1} (x+1)^{n-i} = \sum_i \binom{n-i-1}{k-1} (x+1)^i.$$

□

We will now use $\text{Bin}_k(n)$ to build a more interesting building block:

Definition 3.4. Let $\text{Bin}^k(n)$ be the generating function counting subsets $S \subseteq [n]$ according to $||S| - k|$.

Proposition 3.5 establishes that $\text{Bin}^k(n)$ is $(x+1)$ -positive. Interestingly, its constant coefficient comes out to be zero.

Proposition 3.5. Let n and k be positive integers and $k \leq n$. Then,

$$\text{Bin}^k(n) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \left(\binom{n-i-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-i-1}{n-k-1} \right) (x+1)^i.$$

Proof. Note that $\text{Bin}_k(n)$ counts subsets $S \subseteq [n]$ of size at least k according to $|S| - k$. On the other hand, $\text{Bin}_{n-k}(n)$ counts subsets $S \subseteq [n]$ of size at least $n-k$ according to $|S| - n + k$. Equivalently, considering the complement sets, $\text{Bin}_{n-k}(n)$ counts subsets of size at most k according to $(n - |S|) - n + k = k - |S|$. Thus

$$\text{Bin}_k(n) + \text{Bin}_{n-k}(n) = \text{Bin}^k(n) + \binom{n}{k},$$

since we are double-counting subsets of size k .

It follows from Lemma 3.3 that for $k > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bin}^k(n) &= \sum_i \binom{n-i-1}{k-1} (x+1)^i + \sum_i \binom{n-i-1}{n-k-1} (x+1)^i - \binom{n}{k} \\ &= \sum_i \left(\binom{n-i-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-i-1}{n-k-1} \right) (x+1)^i - \binom{n}{k} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{i \geq 0} \left(\binom{n-i-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-i-1}{n-k-1} \right) (x+1)^i + \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{n-k-1} - \binom{n}{k}.$$

However,

$$\binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{n-k-1} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k} = \binom{n}{k}.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Bin}^k(n) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \left(\binom{n-i-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-i-1}{n-k-1} \right) (x+1)^i.$$

□

We are now prepared to prove the main result of this section. First we introduce some conventions.

Definition 3.6. Let W_n^m be the set of binary words with $2m$ zeroes and $2b$ ones.

Definition 3.7. The even count of a binary word w is the number of ones in even-indexed positions. The shifted even count is

$$(\# \text{ ones in even positions}) - \frac{1}{2}(\# \text{ ones}).$$

The absolute even count is the absolute value of the shifted even count.

Example 3.8. The word 1010110000 has absolute even count $|1 - 2| = 1$.

Definition 3.9. An odd-indexed pair in a word w is any pair of letters $(w(2i+1), w(2i+2))$.

Example 3.10. The binary word 10011100 partitions into odd-indexed pairs as 10|01|11|00.

Theorem 3.11 establishes that $F(m, m, n, n)$ is $(x+1)$ -positive.

Theorem 3.11.

$$F(m, m, n, n) = \binom{m+n}{n} + 2 \sum_{i \geq 0} (x+1)^i \sum_{0 \leq k < n} \binom{m+n}{k} \binom{m+n-k}{2n-2k} \binom{2n-2k-i-1}{n-k-1}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.16, the number of shuffles of P_1 and P_2 with signed L-count of absolute value l is the same as the number of elements of W_n^m with absolute even count l . Thus $F(m, m, n, n)$ counts elements of W_n^m according to their absolute even count.

We begin with a simple observation: For a given $w \in W$, the contribution of a given odd-indexed pair (a, b) to the shifted even count is 0 if $a = b$; $\frac{1}{2}$ if $a = 1$ and $b = 0$; and $-\frac{1}{2}$ if $b = 1$ and $a = 0$. Therefore, the shifted even count of w is

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{2}(\# (0, 1) \text{ even-indexed pairs}) - \frac{1}{2}(\# (1, 0) \text{ even-indexed pairs}).$$

For $0 \leq i < m+n$, define i -toggling of a word $w \in W_m^n$ as swapping the values in the i -th odd-indexed pair. Say that two words are *toggle-equivalent* if they can be reached from each other by repeated toggling (for various i). Denote the toggle-equivalence class of $w \in W_m^n$ by $T(w)$. For example, $T(110110)$ is

$$\{11|01|10, \\ 11|10|10, \\ 11|01|01, \\ 11|10|01\},$$

where we use $|$ to separate even-indexed pairs.

Call the set of i such that $w(2i+1) = 1$ and $w(2i+2) = 1$ the *anchor* $A(w)$, and the set of i such that $w(2i) \neq w(2i-1)$ the *base* $B(w)$. Observe that

$$(3) \quad T(w) = \{w' : w' \in W_n^m, A(w') = A(w), B(w') = B(w)\}.$$

It follows that the distribution of even-indexed pairs of the form $(1, 0)$ in $T(w)$ is binomial. Thus, by Equation (2), the distribution of absolute even count over $T(w)$ is given by the polynomial $\text{Bin}^{|B(w)|/2}(|B(w)|)$.

There are $\binom{m+n}{k} \binom{m+n-k}{2n-2k}$ distinct toggle-equivalence classes containing words with anchors of size k . In particular, there are $\binom{m+n}{k}$ options for $A(w)$, for each of which there are $\binom{m+n-k}{2n-2k}$ options for $B(w)$. Therefore the distribution of the absolute even count over W_n^m is given by the polynomial

$$\sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \binom{m+n}{k} \binom{m+n-k}{2n-2k} \text{Bin}^{n-k}(2n-2k).$$

By Proposition 3.5, when $k \neq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bin}^{n-k}(2n-2k) &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \left(\binom{(2n-2k)-i-1}{(n-k)-1} + \binom{(2n-2k)-i-1}{(2n-2k)-(n-k)-1} \right) (x+1)^i \\ &= 2 \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{2n-2k-i-1}{n-k-1} (x+1)^i. \end{aligned}$$

When $k = n$, $\text{Bin}^{n-k}(2n-2k) = 1$. Thus the distribution of absolute even count over W_n^m is

$$\begin{aligned} &\binom{m+n}{n} \binom{m+n-n}{0} + 2 \sum_{0 \leq k < n} \binom{m+n}{k} \binom{m+n-k}{2n-2k} \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{2n-2k-i-1}{n-k-1} (x+1)^i \\ &= \binom{m+n}{n} + 2 \sum_{i \geq 0} (x+1)^i \sum_{0 \leq k < n} \binom{m+n}{k} \binom{m+n-k}{2n-2k} \binom{2n-2k-i-1}{n-k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

It turns out that Theorem 3.11 gives us the analagous result on $F(m, n, m, n)$ at no additional cost. In particular, Proposition 3.12 shows that the $(x+1)$ -positivity of $F(a, b, c, d)$ is symmetric on b and c . In addition, since $F(a, b, c, d) = F(c, d, a, b)$, it follows that $(x+1)$ -positivity is also symmetric on a and d .

Proposition 3.12. *The polynomial $F(a, b, c, d)$ is $(x+1)$ -positive if and only if $F(a, c, b, d)$ is $(x+1)$ -positive.*

Proof. Observe that

$$F(a, b, c, d) = \sum_l x^{|l|} \binom{a+c}{c+l} \binom{b+d}{d-l},$$

by Theorem 2.16. Pulling out a factor of $\frac{(a+c)!(b+d)!}{(a+b)!(c+d)!}$, we obtain

$$\frac{(a+c)!(b+d)!}{(a+b)!(c+d)!} \sum_l x^{|l|} \binom{a+b}{b+l} \binom{c+d}{d-l} = \frac{(a+c)!(b+d)!}{(a+b)!(c+d)!} F(a, c, b, d),$$

completing the proof. □

4. CONJECTURES AND OPEN QUESTIONS

In this section, we state conjectures relating L-count and signed L-count. In addition, we provide directions for future work. Our main conjecture, Conjecture 4.2, reduces a conjecture of [1] to Theorem 3.11.

We call a path *vertical* (resp. *horizontal*) if it comprises N and S (resp. E and W) steps. A path is *quarter-planar* if it resides in the quarter plane $\{(x, y) | x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}$. A quarter-planar vertical or horizontal path is referred to as *Dyck*.

Fix v to be a vertical Dyck path with a N 's and b S 's. Let M be the set of quarter-planar paths of length n with c E 's, d W 's, and vertical projection v . Let G_1 be the generating function for L-count over M and G_2 be the generating function for signed L-count over M . We will state our conjectures in terms of G_1 and G_2 . Additionally, computations indicate that all of our conjectures are still true when we modify M to consider planar paths rather than quarter-planar paths and v to be any vertical path rather than necessarily a Dyck one¹.

We begin by re-stating a conjecture of [1]. Conjecture 4.1 implies several weaker conjectures of [1], including their Conjecture 10 on which their asymptotic analysis of two-stack sortable permutations relies.

Conjecture 4.1 (Albert, Bousquet-Melou [1]). *If $a = b$ and $c = d$, then G_1 is $(x + 1)$ -positive.*

Our main conjecture, Conjecture 4.2, states a surprising equivalence between $(x + 1)$ -positivity of G_1 and G_2 (tested for $a, b, c, d \leq 5$). In particular, given Conjecture 4.2, our analysis of $(x + 1)$ -positivity of signed L-count in Theorem 3.11 implies Conjecture 4.1.

Conjecture 4.2. *The polynomial G_1 is $(x + 1)$ -positive if and only if G_2 is $(x + 1)$ -positive.*

Since L-count and signed L-count are of equal parity, the constant coefficients of G_1 and G_2 as polynomials on $(x + 1)$ are equal. Computations show, however, that $(x + 1)$ -positivity of G_1 and G_2 does not depend only on this coefficient.

By Theorem 2.16, G_2 depends only on a, b, c, d . Thus Conjecture 4.2 implies the following.

Conjecture 4.3. *The $(x + 1)$ -positivity of G_1 depends only on a, b, c, d .*

This conjecture is particularly surprising given that G_1 itself does not depend only on a, b, c, d . Can one characterize for which a, b, c, d G_1 and G_2 are $(x + 1)$ -positive? Proposition 3.12 shows that $(x + 1)$ -positivity of G_2 is unchanged by swapping b and c or a and d .

In the proof of Theorem 3.11, we effectively partitioned shuffles of vertical and horizontal loops P_1 and P_2 so that each part has signed L-count distribution counted by the generating function $\text{Bin}^j(2j)$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. It is natural to ask whether this proof technique extends to analyzing G_2 for arbitrary a, b, c, d . Observe that $B = \{1\} \cup \{\frac{\text{Bin}^j(2j)}{2} : j \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{1\} \cup \{\text{Bin}^j(2j - 1) | j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ contains a single monic polynomial of each degree², implying that any polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ can be expressed uniquely as a linear combination of elements in B . If a polynomial can be expressed as linear combination of elements of B with non-negative coefficients³ call it *toggle-buildable*. The following conjecture has been tested for $a, b, c, d \leq 5$.

¹Note that the modified versions of the conjectures neither imply or are implied by the un-modified versions. The modified version appears easier though. For example, the modified version of Conjecture 4.1 is solved in [1], and the modified version of Conjecture 4.3 is straightforward.

²Note that $2\text{Bin}^j(2j - 1) = \text{Bin}^j(2j)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

³We do not require the coefficient of each $\text{Bin}^j(2j - 1)$ to be even, as is the case when $a = b$ and $c = d$. In fact, such a requirement would make Conjecture 4.4 untrue.

Conjecture 4.4. *The generating function G_2 is toggle-buildable exactly when G_2 is $(x+1)$ -positive.*

However, the same cannot be said for L-count (i.e., G_1), even when $a = b$ and $c = d$ (so that we are considering quarter-planar loops with a fixed vertical projection). For example, when $a = b = 2$ and $c = d = 4$, G_2 is $(x+1)$ -positive but not toggle-buildable.

Our proof of Theorem 3.11 suggests several additional questions. In the proof of Theorem 3.11, we discuss $F(m, m, n, n)$ in terms of the absolute even count of binary words. Assuming the final letter of P_2 is -2 , however, then the proof of Proposition 2.15 provides a straightforward bijection between binary words in W_n^m with absolute even count l and shuffles of P_1 and P_2 with shifted N-count l . Furthermore, if we apply Proposition 2.14, then we obtain a bijection between binary words in W_n^m with absolute even count l and shuffles of P_1 and P_2 with signed L-count l . This leads us to pose several open problems:

- (1) When i -toggling a binary word changes its even count by one, it also changes the signed L-count of the corresponding shuffle by one. Computer computations indicate that, in fact, when i -toggling changes signed L-count by one, it often also only changes L-count by one. If one could characterize when this is the case, then toggling could be a useful tool for proving the Conjectures 4.1 and 4.2.
- (2) Can the toggling argument in the proof of 3.11 be extended to $F(m, n, m, n)$ without using the scaling trick from the proof of Proposition 3.12? In particular, such an extension might yield a combinatorial interpretation for the super Catalan number. Indeed, the shuffles in toggle-equivalence classes of size one are exactly those counted by the constant term of $F(a, b, a, b)$, of which there are a super Catalan number by Corollary 2.17.
- (3) Suppose P_1 is of the form

$$1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1, -1, -1,$$

and P_2 is of the form

$$2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, -2, \dots, -2, -2, -2,$$

each with the same number of positive letters as negative letters. Then any shuffle of P_1 and P_2 cannot contain both $(1, -2)$ and $(2, -1)$ pairs. Thus the absolute value of the signed L-count is equal to the L-count of such shuffles. Consequently, Theorem 3.11 proves the $(x+1)$ -positivity of the generating function counting these shuffles by their L-count.

However, I have been unable to find a simple combinatorial description for the action of i -toggling on these shuffles (i.e., the result of i -toggling the corresponding binary word). Does one exist? And is there an analogue of toggling for L-count rather than signed L-count?

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was conducted at the University of Minnesota Duluth REU and was supported by NSF grant 1358695 and NSA grant H98230-13-1-0273. The author thanks Joe Gallian for suggesting the problem, as well as Timothy Chow, Aaron Pixton, and Adam Hesterberg for offering advice on exposition and directions of research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Michael Albert and Mireille Bousquet-Mélou. Permutations sortable by two stacks in parallel and quarter plane walks. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 43:131–164, 2015.
- [2] Emily Allen and Irina Gheorghiciuc. A weighted interpretation for the super catalan numbers. *Journal of Integer Sequences*, 17(2):3, 2014.
- [3] Xin Chen and Jane Wang. The super catalan numbers $s(m, m+s)$ for $s \leq 4$. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1208.4196*, 2012.
- [4] Ira M Gessel. Super ballot numbers. *Journal of symbolic computation*, 14(2):179–194, 1992.